



## Agenda



Introduction

Before we start -> Some basics

Feature teams and component teams



## Introduction

Odd-e

# バスはどれでしょう?



#### or 八斯是谁?

#### Odd-e

#### Scaling Lean & Agile Development

 $\checkmark$ 

Thinking and Organizational Tools for Large-Scale Scrum

#### Craig Larman Bas Vodde



#### Practices for Scaling Lean & Agile Development

 $\checkmark$ 

Large, Multisite, and Offshore Products with Large-Scale Scrum

> Craig Larman Bas Vodde







Ο

## Some basics

## Scrum





## **Continuous Integration**



Continuous Integration is a **developer practice** with the goal to always keep a **working system** by making **small changes**, slowly growing the system and **integrating** them at least **daily** on the **mainline** typically supported by a **CI system** with lots of **automated tests** 







## Scaling Cl system





## Large-scale setup









## **Feature teams**



Odd-

Any organization that designs a system (defined more broadly here than just information systems) will inevitably produce a design whose structure is a copy of the organization's communication structure.

## And...

Because the design that occurs first is almost never the best possible, the prevailing system concept may need to change. Therefore, flexibility of organization is important to effective design.

- Mel Conway

Odd-



One ProductOwner Multiple Teams Teams own a part of the system:

"Component teams"



# Low value work is implemented

# Everybody always busy?



#### "Work gets created"

#### Large systems... grow larger by default



One requirement does not map to one team

#### Dependencies never balance out

Result: Not complete requirements integrated



Assign a problem to a role

Impossible job, requirements never balance out.

Result: priority and resource fights



Large backlog items must be split in "less customer-centric backlog items"



Splitting before the iteration starts: "Architecture"

Testing after the iterations ends: "System test"



# How to become good? ...



#### One ProductOwner

#### 3 Teams



Give complete requirements to teams: "Feature teams"

All dependencies within the team

## Feature Teams

- long-lived—the team stays together so they can 'jell' for higher performance; they take on new features over time
- cross-functional and co-located

Odd-

- work on a complete customer-centric feature, across all components and disciplines
- composed of generalizing specialists



#### New problem:

#### Dependency moved





Modern version control (e.g. svn) Continuous integration development practice Automated build and test



#### Person specialization



#### Team specialization



#### Team specialization



#### Specialization good

Don't let specialization constrain you

Learn new specializations



#### Emergent design

Component guardians



Community of Practice

#### Architect Facilitator

Same for e.g. test, ScrumMasters



Transition can often be done by reforming teams



# What about large product development?

Always have one product owner and one product backlog per product

Or... a group of products...



Group requirements into "categories" called: "Requirement areas"

Grouping based on customer, NOT on architecture



Create "requirement area backlogs"

RA backlog is a view on the product backlog

Every PBI maps always to exactly one RA backlog



Every RA has their own "area product owner"

RA product owner specializes in "customer-centric domain"



Every RA has a set of feature teams

From 5-10 per RA

Teams specialize in that area

Areas are dynamic over time

Overall PO decides on moving teams between areas

Value vs velocity



## Transition strategy



"Development areas" are groupings based on architecture

Helps transition, has all drawbacks of component teams



Odd-e